

ORIGINAL

JEREMY A. ROTH, Bar No. 129007
 TINA M. FRYAR, Bar No. 190755
 MICHELLE S. PARK, Bar No. 199224
 LITTLER MENDELSON
 A Professional Corporation
 501 W. Broadway, Suite 900
 San Diego, CA 92101.3577
 Telephone: 619.232.0441

06 DEC -8 AM 11:56

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADU

DEPUTY

Attorneys for Defendant
 PFIZER, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

06 CV 2679 BTM

POR

FERNANDO OBLITAS-RIOS, on behalf
 of himself and all others similarly situated,

Case No. _____

Plaintiff,

NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

v.

Complaint filed: November 1, 2006

PFIZER, INC., a California Corporation;
 and DOES 1 - 100, inclusive,

Defendant.

TO ALL PARTIES AND THIS HONORABLE COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby submits the
 following Notice of Related Cases pursuant to United States District Court for the Southern District
 of California Local Civil Rule 40.1(e).

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

The following cases appear to be related actions to the present matter:

Anthony Coultrip, Robert Chenault, David Hadley, Dana Higgs, Benaias Albarran,
on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. Pfizer, Inc., et al., Defendants.,

USDC, S.D. NY, Case Number 06-cv-09952-AKH, complaint originally filed on October 19, 2006;

amended complaint filed November 17, 2006: The case involves many of the exact same claims and

allegations alleged by Plaintiff in this action. In addition, both the Coultrip case and the present

action involve the same corporate Defendant, PFIZER, INC., and purports to include class claims for

NOTICE TO FEDERAL COURT OF
 RELATED CASES

Case No. _____

1 similar or identical job titles for employee and former employees, including those in California.
 2 California counsel is involved on behalf of plaintiffs.

3 Ayisha Jeter, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, against
 4 Pfizer, Inc., Defendant., Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, Case
 5 Number 603984/2006, filed on or about November 16, 2006. The case involves many similar
 6 allegations to those alleged by Plaintiff in this action, although purports to only be brought under
 7 New York state wage and hour law. In addition, both the Jeter case and the present action involve
 8 the same corporate Defendant, PFIZER, INC., and purports to include class claims for similar or
 9 identical job titles for employee and former employees in the State of New York.

10 Dated: December 8, 2006



JEREMY A. ROTH
 TINA M. FRYAR
 MICHELLE S. PARK
 LITTLER MENDELSON
 A Professional Corporation
 Attorneys for Defendant
 PFIZER, INC.

17 Firmwide:81730586.1 051034.1019